| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/757,614 | WEINSTEIN ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Bena Miller | 3725 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: Firs | et Action |
| (1) <u>Bena Miller</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 30 August 2005 | Time: <u>12 pm</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Examiner's Amendment | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Α. | | |
| Bera B. No | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/ | Applicant's Representative Signature | gnature – if appropriate) |